

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,731	07/10/2003	Tatsuhiko Shibuya	372106-102	2766
38552 7	590 01/07/2005		EXAMINER	
DECHERT LLP (WASHINGTON, DC OFFICE) 1775 I STREET, NW			MOORE, MARGARET G	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	٧
	10/616,731	SHIBUYA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Margaret G. Moore	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 De	ecember 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 to 33 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1 to 16, 21 to 29</u> is/ar		ion.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 17 to 20 and 30 to 33 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	` ,	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Appl rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumi	mary (PTO-413). ail Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/616,731

Art Unit: 1712

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 17 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada et al. '118 or Kurosawa et al. '151.

This rejection is based on the rationale noted in the previous office action. In that action the Examiner addressed the claim requirements of newly added claim 30 (that is, the addition of the silane (III). Applicants' traversal is not persuasive. Specifically, applicants argue a limitation that is not present in the claims. There is not heat treatment temperature claimed and as such there does not appear to be any distinction between the instant claims and that in the prior art.

On the other hand, even if the heat treatment temperature was claimed, the specification fails to adequately establish an inherent difference in the film claimed and that in the prior art. Page 25 of the specification generally mentions "as the temperature during baking becomes higher". This fails to establish an inherent difference in a film heated at, for instance 650°C, and a film heated at 680°C. The phrase "becomes higher" is relative and it is unclear if this means higher compared to, for instance, 650°C or higher compared to, for instance, 200°C.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,731

Art Unit: 1712

4. Claims 18 to 20 and 31 to 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yamada et al. or Kurosawa et al.

Page 3

This rejection is also based on the rationale detailed in the previous office action. Applicants rely on the limitations of claims 17 and 30 to overcome this rejection. Since claims 17 and 30 are rejected (as noted supra) this rejection is maintained.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,731

Art Unit: 1712

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Margaret G. Moore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

mgm 1/4/05